



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/887,873	06/22/2001	Eric D. Bergman	0007056-0183/P5731NP/ARG/ 8032		
26263	7590 10/25/2005	·	EXAMINER		
SONNENS P.O. BOX 0	CHEIN NATH & RO 61080	BASHORE, WILLIAM L			
	WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			2176		

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/887,873	BERGMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
William L. Bashore	2176	

Advisory Action	09/887,873	BERGMAN ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William L. Bashore	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in content of the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	106.07(f). I on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	136(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The approprince in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	te extension fee late extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			•		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).		
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).					
13. Other: WILLIAM BASHORE WILLIAM SANINER					
	W PR	ILIACO PARIGINALI	ł		
	•	LO(19/205			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Applicants proposed amendment regarding determining "automatically by a computer", and "wherein the determinier is embodied in a computing device" significantly changes the scope of the claimed invention when interpreted as a whole, therefore said amendment would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments are substantially directed to new issues as presented above.

Delega L. Booler WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER 10/19/2005

2